WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 6:

(11) International Publication Number:

WO 97/07669

A01K 67/027, A61K 35/54

A1

(43) International Publication Date:

6 March 1997 (06.03.97)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/GB96/02099

(22) International Filing Date:

30 August 1996 (30.08.96)

(30) Priority Data:

9517780.4

31 August 1995 (31.08.95)

GB

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): ROSLIN INSTITUTE (EDINBURGH) [GB/GB]; Roslin, Midlothian EH25 9PS (GB).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): CAMPBELL, Keith, Henry, Stockman [GB/GB]; Roslin Institute (Edinburgh), Roslin, Midlothian EH25 9PS (GB). WILMUT, Ian [GB/GB]; Roslin Institute (Edinburgh), Roslin, Midlothian EH25 9PS (GB).

(74) Agents: CHAPMAN, Paul, William et al.; Kilburn & Strode, 30 John Street, London WC1N 2DD (GB).

(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published

With international search report.

(54) Title: QUIESCENT CELL POPULATIONS FOR NUCLEAR TRANSFER

(57) Abstract

7

A method of reconstituting an animal embryo involves transferring the nucleus from a quiescent donor cell into a suitable recipient cell. The donor cell is quiescent, in that it is caused to exit from the growth and division cycle at G1 and to arrest in the G0 state. Nuclear transfer may take place by cell fusion. The reconstituted embryo may then give rise to one or more animals. The invention is useful in the production of transgenic animals as well as non-transgenics of high genetic merit.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

		GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AM	Armenia	GE	5	MX	Mexico
AT	Austria	GE GN	Georgia Guinea	NE	Niger
ΑU	Australia		•••••	NL	Netherlands
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NO	Norway
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NZ	New Zealand
BF	Burkina Faso	ΙE	Ireland		Poland
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE `	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgystan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic		of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SG	Singapore
СН	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LR	Liberia	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LT	Lithuania	TD	Chad ·
CZ	Czech Republic	LU	Luxembourg	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	LV	Latvia	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MC	Monaco	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MD	Republic of Moldova	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FR		MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
	France	MR MR	Mauritania	VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon	MR	Width Hailia	•••	•

1

QUIESCENT CELL POPULATIONS FOR NUCLEAR TRANSFER

This invention relates to the generation of animals including but not being limited to genetically selected and/or modified animals.

5

10

15

20

25

30

The reconstruction of mammalian embryos by the transfer of a nucleus from a donor embryo to an enucleated oocyte or one cell zygote allows the production of genetically identical individuals. This has clear advantages for both research (i.e. as biological controls) and also in commercial applications (i.e. multiplication genetically valuable livestock, uniformity of products, animal management). One problem with the use of early embryos as nuclear donors is that the number of offspring which can be produced from a single embryo is limited both by the number of cells (embryos at the 32-64 cell stage are the most widely used in farm animal species) and the efficiency of the nuclear transfer protocol.

In contrast to the use of embryos as nuclear donors, the ability to produce live offspring by nuclear transfer from cells which can be maintained in culture is an objective which have been sought for some time by animal breeders. The ability to produce cloned offspring from a cultured cell line would offer a large number of advantages over the use of early embryos. These include: the production of large numbers of identical offspring over a long time period (cultured cells can be frozen and stored) and the ability genetically to modify and/or select cell populations of the required genotype (e.g. sex) prior to embryo reconstruction. One potential cell type for use in these procedures is the Embryonic Stem

5

10

15

20

25

30

(ES) cell. ES cells have been isolated in the mouse, however as yet there are no reports of development to term following their use in nuclear transfer. At the present time there is a single report of ES like cells in pig which have contributed to development following injection into the blastocoele cavity of in vivo-produced blastocysts (Wheeler, Reprod. Fertil. Dev. 6 563-568 (1994)) but no reports of chimerism in other farm livestock species and no reports of development to term following nuclear transfer in any mammalian species from any established cell line.

There are several alternatives to the use of ES cell lines; one of these is to search for other populations which are able to promote development when for nuclear transfer. Several reports suggested that Primordial Germ Cells offer a suitable candidate; however no development to term has yet been reported. Cell lines established from early embryos have been suggested; although development has been reported from early passage cells in the sheep (Campbell et al., 181 (1995)) on prolonged culture, development was obtained using conventional transfer protocols (Campbell et al., J. Abstract Series (5) 31 (1995)).

In order to obtain development to term after nuclear transfer the developmental clock of the transferred nucleus must be reset. For this to occur transcription must be arrested and then restarted in a developmentally regulated pattern. Previous reports have shown that development to the blastocyst stage can be obtained from a wide range of cell types in the cow, sheep, pig, rabbit and mouse. However, in all of these reports no

3

development to term has been reported. The birth of live lambs following nuclear transfer from primary cell lines (up to and including passage 3) which were established from the embryonic disc (ED) of day 9 ovine embryos has previously been reported (Campbell et al., Therio 43 181 (1995)). However, on subsequent culture no development to term was obtained using conventional nuclear transfer protocols (at passage 6 and 11) (Campbell et al., J. Reprod. Fertil. Abstract Series (5) 31 (1995)). These results can be interpreted in a number of ways; firstly it can be postulated that all of the ED derived cells obtained during early periods of culture are able to promote development. However, on prolonged culture during establishment of a cultured cell line these cells change and are thus unable to control development when used as nuclear donors for nuclear transfer into the "Universal Recipient" referred to in the above papers. Alternatively it may be postulated that during the early culture period a sub-population of cells retains the ability to promote development and that this would explain the production of live offspring following nuclear transfer during these early passages. studies have emphasised the role of cell cycle coordination of the donor nucleus and the recipient cytoplasm in the development of embryos reconstructed by nuclear transfer (Campbell et al., Biol. Reprod. 49 933-942 (1993) and Biol. Reprod. 50 1385-1393 (1994)).

10 🚟

15

20

25

30

Two possible alternative strategies to that of relying on the isolation of a cell line which is totipotent for nuclear transfer using published nuclear transfer protocols are:

PCT/GB96/02099

(1) to modify existing nuclear transfer procedures; or

(2) to modify the chromatin of the donor cell prior to nuclear transfer.

5

10

15

A totipotent cell can direct the development of a whole animal (when constructing embryos by nuclear transfer from a donor cell into a recipient cell, such as an enucleated oocyte, it is the nucleus of the donor cell which is totipotent). This includes directing development of extra-embryonic lineages, placenta. In this definition, a fertilised zygote and in some species individual blastomeres are also totipotent. In contradistinction, a pluripotent or multipotent cell (i.e. an embryonic stem cell) type has been defined as one which can form all tissues in the conceptus/offspring after injection into the blastocoele cavity.

20

In both the nuclear transfer strategies (1) and (2) outlined above, a method is required which will allow the reprogramming of gene expression of the transferred nucleus: such a method would then allow the use of differentiated or partially differentiated cells as nuclear donors and would "bring out" their inherent totipotency.

30

25

It has now been found that quiescent cells, that is to say cells which are not actively proliferating by means of the cell cycle, can advantageously be used as nuclear donors in the reconstitution of an animal embryo. Such embryos may then be allowed to develop to term. It seems that changes in the donor nucleus which are observed after embryo reconstruction and which are required for efficient nuclear transfer can be induced in the nuclei

5

of cells prior to their use as nuclear donors by causing them to enter the quiescent state. This fact has been exploited in the present application.

According to a first aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of reconstituting an animal embryo, the method comprising transferring the nucleus of a quiescent donor cell into a suitable recipient cell.

In principle, the invention is applicable to all animals, 10 including birds, such as domestic fowl, amphibian species and fish species. In practice, however, it will be to mammals, especially (non-human) animals, non-human that the mammals, placental particularly commercially useful applicability is presently envisaged. 15 It is with ungulates, particularly economically important ungulates such as cattle, sheep, goats, water buffalo, camels and pigs that the invention is likely to be most useful, both as a means for cloning animals and as a means for generating transgenic or genetically modified 20 It should also be noted that the invention is animals. also likely to be applicable to other economically important animal species such as, for example, horses, llamas or rodents e.g. rats or mice, or rabbits.

25

30

The invention is equally applicable in the production of transgenic, as well as non-transgenic animals. Transgenic animals may be produced from genetically altered donor cells. The overall procedure has a number of advantages over conventional procedures for the production of transgenic (i.e. genetically modified) animals which may be summarised as follows:

(1) fewer recipients will be required;

5

10

15

20

25

30

- (2) multiple syngeneic founders may be generated using clonal donor cells;
- (3) subtle genetic alteration by gene targeting is permitted;
- (4) all animals produced from embryos prepared by the invention should transmit the relevant genetic modification through the germ line as each animal is derived from a single nucleus; in contrast, production of transgenic animals by pronuclear injection or chimerism after inclusion of modified stem cell populations by blastocyst injection, or other procedures, produces a proportion of mosaic animals in which all cells do not contain the modification and the resultant animal may not transmit the modification through the germ line; and
- (5) cells can be selected for the site of genetic modification (e.g. integration) prior to the generation of the whole animal.

It should be noted that the term "transgenic", in relation to animals, should not be taken to be limited to referring to animals containing in their germ line one or more genes from another species, although many transgenic animals will contain such a gene or genes. Rather, the term refers more broadly to any animal whose germ line has been the subject of technical intervention by recombinant DNA technology. So, for example, an animal in whose germ line an endogenous gene has been deleted, duplicated, activated or modified is a transgenic animal for the purposes of this invention as much as an animal to whose germ line an exogenous DNA sequence has been added.

7

In embodiments of the invention in which the animal is transgenic, the donor nucleus is genetically modified. The donor nucleus may contain one or more transgenes and the genetic modification may take place prior to nuclear transfer and embryo reconstitution. Although microinjection, analogous to injection into the male or female pronucleus of a zygote, may be used as a method of genetic modification, the invention is not limited to that methodology: mass transformation or transfection techniques can also be used e.g. electroporation, viral transfection or lipofection.

5

10

15

20

25

30

In the method of the invention described above, a nucleus is transferred from a quiescent donor cell to a recipient The use of this method is not restricted to a cell. particular donor cell type. All cells of normal karyotype, including embryonic, foetal and adult somatic cells, which can be induced to enter quiescence or exist in a quiescent state in vivo may prove totipotent using this technology. The invention therefore contemplates the use of an at least partially differentiated cell, including a fully differentiated cell. Donor cells may be, but do not have to be, in culture. Cultured bovine primary fibroblasts, an embryo-derived ovine cell line (TNT4), an ovine mammary epithelial cell derived cell line (OME) from a 6 year old adult sheep, a fibroblast cell line derived from foetal ovine tissue (BLWF1) and an epithelial-like cell line derived from a 9-day old sheep embryo (SEC1) are exemplified below. A class of embryoderived cell lines useful in the invention which includes the TNT4 cell line are also the subject of co-pending PCT Patent Application No. PCT/GB95/02095, published as ·WO96/07732.

5

10

15

20

25

30

To be useful in the invention, donor cells are quiescent, which is to say that they are not actively proliferating by means of the mitotic cell cycle. The mitotic cell cycle has four distinct phases, G1, S, G2 and M. beginning event in the cell cycle, called start, takes place in the G1 phase and has a unique function. decision or commitment to undergo another cell cycle is made at start. Once a cell has passed through start, it passes through the remainder of the G1 phase, which is The second stage, the S the pre-DNA synthesis phase. phase, is when DNA synthesis takes place. followed by the G2 phase, which is the period between DNA synthesis and mitosis. Mitosis itself occurs at the M phase. Quiescent cells (which include cells in which quiescence has been induced as well as those cells which naturally quiescent, such as certain differentiated cells) are generally regarded as not being in any of these four phases of the cycle; they are usually described as being in a GO state, so as to indicate that they would not normally progress through The nuclei of quiescent GO cells have a the cycle. diploid DNA content.

Cultured cells can be induced to enter the quiescent state by various methods including chemical treatments, nutrient deprivation, growth inhibition or manipulation of gene expression. Presently the reduction of serum levels in the culture medium has been used successfully to induce quiescence in both ovine and bovine cell lines. In this situation, the cells exit the growth cycle during the G1 phase and arrest, as explained above, in the so-called G0 stage. Such cells can remain in this state for several days (possibly longer depending upon the cell) until re-stimulated when they re-enter the growth cycle.

9

Quiescent cells arrested in the GO state are diploid. The GO state is the point in the cell cycle from which cells are able to differentiate. On quiescence a number metabolic changes have been reported and these monophosphorylated histones, ciliated include: reduction or complete cessation centrioles, in all protein synthesis, increased proteolysis, decrease in transcription and increased turnover of RNA resulting in reduction in total cell RNA, disaggregation of polyribosomes, accumulation of inactive 80S ribosomes and chromatin condensation (reviewed Whitfield et al., Control of Animal Cell Proliferation, 1 331-365 (1985)).

5

10

15

20

25

30

Many of these features are those which are required to occur following transfer of a nucleus to an enucleated occyte. The fact that the GO state is associated with cell differentiation suggests that this may provide a nuclear/chromatin structure which is more amenable to either remodelling and/or reprogramming by the recipient cell cytoplasm. In this way, by virtue of the nuclear donor cells being in the quiescent state, the chromatin of the nuclei of the donors may be modified before embryo reconstitution or reconstruction such that the nuclei are able to direct development. This differs from all previously reported methods of nuclear transfer in that the chromatin of donor cells is modified prior to the use of the cells as nuclear donors.

The recipient cell to which the nucleus from the donor cell is transferred may be an oocyte or another suitable cell.

Recipient cells at a variety of different stages of development may be used, from oocytes at metaphase I

through metaphase II, to zygotes and two-cell embryos. Each has its advantages and disadvantages. fertilized eggs ensures efficient activation whereas parthenogenetic activation is required with oocytes (see Another mechanism that may favour the use of cleavage-stage embryos in some species is the extent to which reprogramming of gene expression is required. Transcription is initiated during the second cell cycle in the mouse and no major changes in the nature of the proteins being synthesised are revealed dimensional electrophoresis until the blastocyst stage (Howlett & Bolton J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol. 87 175-206 (1985)). In most cases, though, the recipient cells will be oocytes.

15

20

25

10

5

It is preferred that the recipient be enucleate. has been generally assumed that enucleation of recipient oocytes in nuclear transfer procedures is there is no published experimental confirmation of this judgement. The original procedure described for ungulates involved splitting the cell into two halves, one of which was likely to be enucleated (Willadsen Nature 320 (6) 63-65 (1986)). This procedure has the disadvantage that the other unknown half will still have the metaphase apparatus and that the reduction in volume of the cytoplasm is believed to accelerate the pattern of differentiation of the new embryo (Eviskov et al., Development 109 322-328 (1990)).

30

More recently, different procedures have been used in attempts to remove the chromosomes with a minimum of cytoplasm. Aspiration of the first polar body and neighbouring cytoplasm was found to remove the metaphase II apparatus in 67% of sheep oocytes (Smith & Wilmut

11

Biol. Reprod. 40 1027-1035 (1989)). Only with the use of DNA-specific fluorochrome (Hoechst 33342) was a method provided by which enucleation would be guaranteed with the minimum reduction in cytoplasmic volume (Tsunoda et al., J. Reprod. Fertil. 82 173 (1988)). In livestock species, this is probably the method of routine use at present (Prather & First J. Reprod. Fertil. Suppl. 41 125 (1990), Westhusin et al., Biol. Reprod. (Suppl.) 42 176 (1990)).

10

15

20

5

There have been very few reports of non-invasive approaches to enucleation in mammals, whereas amphibians, irradiation with ultraviolet light is used as a routine procedure (Gurdon Q. J. Microsc. Soc. 101 299-311 (1960)). There are no detailed reports of the use of this approach in mammals, although during the use of DNAspecific fluorochrome it was noted that exposure of mouse oocytes to ultraviolet light for more than 30 seconds reduced the developmental potential of the cell (Tsunoda et al., J. Reprod. Fertil. 82 173 (1988)).

30

35

It is preferred that recipient host cells to which the donor cell nucleus is transferred is an enucleated metaphase II oocyte, an enucleated unactivated oocyte or an enucleated preactivated oocyte. At least where the recipient is an enucleated metaphase activation may take place at the time of transfer. Alternatively, at least where the recipient enucleated unactivated metaphase II oocyte, activation take place subsequently. As described enucleation may be achieved physically, by actual removal of the nucleus, pro-nuclei or metaphase plate (depending on the recipient cell), or functionally, such as by the application of ultraviolet radiation or enucleating influence.

5

10

Three suitable cytoplast (enucleated oocyte) recipients are:

- 1. The "MAGIC Recipient" (Metaphase Arrested G1/G0 AcceptIng Cytoplast) described in our co-pending PCT patent application No. PCT/GB96/02098 filed today (claiming priority from GB 9517779.6).
- 2. The "GOAT" (GO/G1 Activation and Transfer) a MII (metaphase II) oocyte at the time of activation (Campbell et al., Biol. Reprod. 49 933-942 (1993).
- 3. The "Universal Recipient" (Campbell et al., Biol. Reprod. 649 933-942 (1993), Biol. Reprod. 50 1385-1393 (1994).
- All three of these recipients would result in normal 15 ploidy when using donor nuclei in GO in the reconstructed embryo. However, recent reports have suggested that a proportion of the nuclei from quiescent cells are unable to enter the DNA synthetic phase when placed into an Sphase cytoplasm without undergoing disassembly of the 20 nuclear envelope (Leno & Munshi, J. Cell Biol. 127(1) 5-14 (1994)). Therefore, although a proportion of embryos will develop when using the "Universal Recipient" it is postulated that the use of MII oocytes containing high levels of MPF (M-phase promoting factor or maturation-25 promoting factor) as cytoplast recipients by either method 1 or 2 will result in a greater frequency of development.
- Once suitable donor and recipient cells have been identified, it is necessary for the nucleus of the former to be transferred to the latter. Most conveniently, nuclear transfer is effected by fusion.

PCT/GB96/02099

Three established methods which have been used to induce fusion are:

- (1) exposure of cells to fusion-promoting chemicals, such as polyethylene glycol;
- (2) the use of inactivated virus, such as Sendai virus: and
- (3) the use of electrical stimulation.

10 Exposure of cells to fusion-promoting chemicals such as polyethylene glycol or other glycols is a procedure for the fusion of somatic cells, but it has not been widely used with embryos. As polyethylene glycol is toxic it is necessary to expose the cells for a minimum period and the need to be able to remove the chemical 15 quickly may necessitate the removal of the zona pellucida (Kanka et al., Mol. Reprod. Dev. 29 110-116 (1991)). experiments with mouse embryos, inactivated Sendai virus provides an efficient means for the fusion of cells from cleavage-stage embryos (Graham Wistar Inst. Symp. Monogr. 20 9 19 (1969)), with the additional experimental advantage that activation is not induced. In ungulates, fusion is commonly achieved by the same electrical stimulation that is used to induce parthogenetic activation (Willadsen Nature 320 (6) 63-65 (1986), Prather et al., 25 Reprod. 37 859-866 (1987)). In these species, Sendai virus induces fusion in a proportion of cases, but is not sufficiently reliable for routine application (Willadsen Nature 320 (6) 63-65 (1986)).

30

35

5

WO 97/07669

While cell-cell fusion is a preferred method of effecting nuclear transfer, it is not the only method that can be used. Other suitable techniques include microinjection (Ritchie and Campbell, *J. Reproduction and Fertility* Abstract Series No. 15, p60).

5

10

15

20

25

30

Before or (preferably) after nuclear transfer (or, some instances at least, concomitantly with it), it is generally necessary to stimulate the recipient cell into development by parthenogenetic activation, at least if the cell is an oocyte. Recent experiments have shown that the requirements for parthogenetic activation are more complicated than had been imagined. It had been assumed that activation is an all-or-none phenomenon and that the large number of treatments able to induce formation of a pronucleus were all causing "activation". exposure of rabbit oocytes to repeated electrical pulses revealed that only selection of an appropriate series of pulses and control of the Ca2+ was able to promote development of diploidized oocytes to mid-gestation (Ozil Development 109 117-127 (1990)). During fertilization there are repeated, transient increases in intracellular calcium concentration (Cutbertson & Cobbold Nature 316 541-542 (1985)) and electrical pulses are believed to cause analogous increases in calcium concentration. There is evidence that the pattern of calcium transients varies with species and it can be anticipated that the optimal pattern of electrical pulses will vary in a The interval between pulses in the similar manner. rabbit is approximately 4 minutes (Ozil Development 109 117-127 (1990)), and in the mouse 10 to 20 minutes (Cutbertson & Cobbold Nature 316 541-542 (1985)), while there are preliminary observations in the cow that the interval is approximately 20 to 30 minutes (Robl et al., Cloning Mammals by Nuclear in Symposium on Transplantation (Seidel ed.), Colorado State University, 24-27 (1992)). In most published experiments activation was induced with a single electrical pulse, but new observations suggest that the proportion of reconstituted embryos that develop is increased by exposure to several

PCT/GB96/02099

pulses (Collas & Robl Biol. Reprod. 43 877-884 (1990)). In any individual case, routine adjustments may be made to optimise the number of pulses, the field strength and duration of the pulses and the calcium concentration of the medium.

According to a second aspect of the present invention there is provided a reconstituted animal embryo prepared by a method as described previously.

10

5

According to a third aspect of the present invention there is provided a method for preparing an animal, the method comprising:

15

- (a) reconstituting an animal embryo as described above; and
- (b) causing an animal to develop to term from the embryo; and
- (c) optionally, breeding from the animal so formed.

20

25

30

Step (a) has been described in depth above.

The second step, step (b) in the method of this aspect of the invention is to cause an animal to develop to term from the embryo. This may be done directly or indirectly. In direct development, the reconstituted embryo from step (a) is simply allowed to develop without further intervention beyond any that may be necessary to allow the development to take place. In indirect development, however, the embryo may be further manipulated before full development takes place. For example, the embryo may be split and the cells clonally expanded, for the purpose of improving yield.

PCT/GB96/02099

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Alternatively or additionally, it may be possible for increased yields of viable embryos to be achieved by means of the present invention by clonal expansion of donors and/or if use is made of the process of serial. (nuclear) transfer. A limitation in the presently achieved rate of blastocyst formation may be due to the fact that a majority of the embryos do not "reprogram" (although an acceptable number do). If this is the case, then the rate may be enhanced as follows. Each embryo that does develop itself can be used as a nuclear donor, such as, for example at the morula or 32-64 cell stage; alternatively, inner cell mass cells can be used at the blastocyst stage. Embryos derived from these subsequent transfers could themselves also be used as potential nuclear donors to further increase efficiency. embryos do indeed reflect those which have reprogrammed gene expression and those nuclei are in fact reprogrammed (as seems likely), then each developing embryo may be multiplied in this way by the efficiency of the nuclear transfer process. The degree of enhancement likely to be achieved depends upon the cell type. In sheep, it is readily possible to obtain 55% blastocyst stage embryos by transfer of a single blastomere from a 16 cell embryo to a preactivated "Universal Recipient" oocyte. So it is reasonable to hypothesise that each embryo developed from a single cell could give rise to eight at the 16 cell stage. Although these figures are just a rough guide, it is clear that at later developmental stages the extent of benefit would depend on the efficiency of the process at that stage.

It is also contemplated that a new cell line to act as a source of nuclear donor cells could be produced from embryos formed according to the preceding description or the resulting foetuses or adults.

In certain instances, where there may be restrictions in the development of a reconstructed embryo to term it may be preferable to generate a chimeric animal formed from cells derived from a naturally formed embryo and an embryo reconstructed by nuclear transfer. Such a chimera can be formed by taking a proportion of cells of the natural embryo and a proportion of the cells of the reconstructed embryo at any stage up to the blastocyst stage and forming a new embryo by aggregation or injection. The proportion of cells may be in the ratio 50:50 or another suitable ratio to achieve the formation of an embryo which develops to term. presence of normal cells in these circumstances thought to assist in rescuing the reconstructed embryo and allowing successful development to term and a live birth.

5

.10

15

20

25

30

Aside from the issue of yield-improving expediencies, the reconstituted embryo may be cultured, in vivo or in vitro to blastocyst.

Experience suggests that embryos derived by nuclear transfer are different from normal embryos and sometimes benefit from or even require culture conditions in vivo other than those in which embryos are usually cultured (at least in vivo). The reason for this is not known. routine multiplication of bovine reconstituted embryos (many of them at once) have been cultured in sheep oviducts for 5 to 6 days (as described by Willadsen, In Mammalian Egg Transfer (Adams, E.E., ed.) 185 CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida (1982)). In the practice of the present invention, though, in order to protect the embryo it should preferably be embedded in a protective medium such as agar before transfer and then 5

15

20

25

30

dissected from the agar after recovery from the temporary recipient. The function of the protective agar or other medium is twofold: first, it acts as a structural aid for the embryo by holding the zona pellucida together; and secondly it acts as barrier to cells of the recipient animal's immune system. Although this approach increases the proportion of embryos that form blastocysts, there is the disadvantage that a number of embryos may be lost.

If in vitro conditions are used, those routinely employed in the art are quite acceptable.

At the blastocyst stage, the embryo may be screened for suitability for development to term. Typically, this will be done where the embryo is transgenic and screening and selection for stable integrants has been carried out. Screening for non-transgenic genetic markers may also be carried out at this stage. However, because the method of the invention allows for screening of donors at an earlier stage, that will generally be preferred.

After screening, if screening has taken place, the blastocyst embryo is allowed to develop to term. This will generally be in vivo. If development up to blastocyst has taken place in vitro, then transfer into the final recipient animal takes place at this stage. If blastocyst development has taken place in vivo, although in principle the blastocyst can be allowed to develop to term in the pre-blastocyst host, in practice the blastocyst will usually be removed from the (temporary) pre-blastocyst recipient and, after dissection from the protective medium, will be transferred to the (permanent) post-blastocyst recipient.

19

In optional step (c) of this aspect of the invention, animals may be bred from the animal prepared by the preceding steps. In this way, an animal may be used to establish a herd or flock of animals having the desired genetic characteristic(s).

5

10

15

20

25

Animals produced by transfer of nuclei from a source of genetically identical cells share the same nucleus, but are not strictly identical as they are derived from different oocytes. The significance of this different origin is not clear, but may affect commercial traits. Recent analyses of the mitochondrial DNA of dairy cattle in the Iowa State University Breeding Herd revealed associated with milk and reproductive performance (Freeman & Beitz, In Symposium on Cloning Mammals by Nuclear Transplantation (Seidel, G. E. Jr., ed.) 17-20, Colorado State University, Colorado (1992)). It remains be confirmed that similar effects are present throughout the cattle population and to consider whether it is possible or necessary in specific situations to consider the selection of oocytes. In the area of cattle breeding the ability to produce large numbers of embryos from donors of high genetic merit may have considerable potential value in disseminating genetic improvement through the national herd. The scale of application will depend upon the cost of each embryo and the proportion of transferred embryos able to develop to term.

By way of illustration and summary, the following scheme sets out a typical process by which transgenic and non-transgenic animals may be prepared. The process can be regarded as involving seven steps:

PCT/GB96/02099

5

10

15

20

30

- (1) selection and isolation of suitable donor cells, which may include assessment of karyotype, induction of quiescence (arrest in G0) and/or induction of development;
- (2) application of suitable molecular biological techniques for the production of genetically modified cell populations. Such techniques may include gene additions, gene knock-outs, gene knock-ins, and other gene modifications. Optionally, transgenesis, may also be employed by transfection with suitable constructs, with or without selectable markers;
- (3) optionally screen and select modified cell populations or clones for the required genotype/phenotype (i.e. stable integrants);
- (4) induction of quiescence in modified cell population;
- (5) embryo reconstitution by nuclear transfer;
- (6) culture, in vivo or in vitro, to blastocyst;
 (6a) optionally screen and select for stable integrants omit if done at (3) or other desired characteristics;
- (7) transfer if necessary to final recipient.
- According to a fourth aspect of the invention, there is provided an animal prepared as described above.

Preferred features for each aspect of the invention are as for each other aspect, mutatis mutandis.

The present invention will now be described by reference to the accompanying Examples which are provided for the purposes of illustration and are not to be construed as being limiting on the present invention.

21

EXAMPLES

5

10

15

Example 1 : Induction of Quiescence in Donor Cells

Various methods have been shown to induce quiescence in cultured cell lines, including; contact inhibition or serum starvation (reviewed Whitfield et al., Control of Animal Cell Proliferation, 1 331-365 (1985)). The method of induction of quiescence is not thought to be of importance, the important step is that the cells exit the growth cycle, arrest in a GO state with a diploid DNA content and remain viable. In Examples 3 and 4, serum starvation of bovine primary fibroblasts, a bovine cell line established from the inner cell mass of day 7 in vivo produced blastocysts, and an embryo derived ovine cell line (TNT4), was used to induce quiescence and arrest the cells in the GO phase of the cell cycle. Serum starvation was similarly used to induce quiescence of the donor cells described in Example 5.

20 Example 2 : Isolation of Oocytes and Nuclear Transfer Oocytes can be obtained by (i) in vitro maturation of slaughterhouse material, or from transvaginal follicle puncture; (ii) in vivo maturation and surgically recovery; or (iii) any other suitable procedure. in vivo matured oocytes should be harvested by flushing 25 from the oviduct in calcium magnesium free phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing 1.0% foetal calf serum In vitro matured oocytes are harvested and transferred to calcium free M2 (Whittingham and Wales Aust. J. Biol. Sci. 22 1065-1068 (1969)) containing 1.0% 30 FCS. Oocytes are denuded of cumulus cells and enucleated as previously described (Campbell et al., Biol. Reprod. 49 933-942 (1993) and Biol. Reprod. 50 1385-1393 (1994)) with the exception that calcium free medium is used for

5

10

15

20

25

30

all procedures. Fusion procedures are modifications of those previously reported (Campbell et al., 1993, 1994 loc cit) and are as described in the relevant section below, alternatively the nucleus may be introduced by injection of the donor cell into the enucleated oocyte (Ritchie & Campbell, J. Reprod. Fertil. Abstract Series (5) 60 (1995)). The timing of these events is dependent upon the species, the following two protocols outline the use of in vivo matured ovine and in vitro matured bovine oocytes.

Example 3 : Ovine Nuclear Transfer

3.1 <u>Superstimulation of donor ewes and recovery of oocytes</u>

Scottish Blackface ewes were synchronised progestagen sponges for 14 days (Veramix, Upjohn, UK) and induced to superovulate with single injections 3.0mg/day (total 6.0mg) ovine follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) (Ovagen, Immuno-chemical Products Ltd, New Zealand) on two successive days. Ovulation was induced with an 8mg single dose of a gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogue (GnRH Receptal, Hoechst, UK) 24 hours after the second injection of FSH.

Unfertilised metaphase II oocytes were recovered by flushing from the oviduct at 24-29 hours after GnRH injection using Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline containing 1.0% foetal calf serum (FCS) maintained at

37°C until use.

3.2 Oocyte manipulation

Recovered oocytes were maintained at 37°C, washed in PBS 1.0% FCS and transferred to calcium free M2 medium

23

containing 10% Foetal Calf Serum (FCS), at 37°C. To remove the chromosomes, (enucleation) oocytes were placed in calcium free M2 containing 10% FCS, $7.5\mu g/ml$ cytochalasin B (Sigma) and $5.0\mu g/ml$ Hoechst 33342 (Sigma) at 37°C for 20 minutes. A small amount of cytoplasm from directly beneath the 1st polar body was then aspirated using a $20\mu M$ glass pipette. Enucleation was confirmed by exposing the aspirated portion of cytoplasm to UV light and checking for the presence of a metaphase plate.

10

15

5

3.3 Embryo reconstruction

Groups of 10-20 oocytes were enucleated and placed into $20\mu l$ drops of calcium free M2 medium at 37°C 5% CO_2 under mineral oil (SIGMA). Each of the following three protocols (a), (b) and (c) were used for embryo reconstruction.

(a) "MAGIC" (Metaphase Arrested G1/G0 Accepting Cytoplast)

20 As soon as possible after enucleation a single cell was placed into contact with the oocyte by using a glass pipette to transfer the cell through the hole previously made in the zona pellucida. The cytoplast/cell couplet was then transferred into the fusion chamber in $200\mu l$ of 25 0.3M mannitol in distilled water and manually aligned between the electrodes. An AC pulse of 5V was applied for 3 seconds followed by 3 DC pulses of 1.25kV/cm for 80µsecs. The couplets were then washed in calcium free M2, 10% FCS at 37°C and incubated in the same medium 30 under oil at 37°C 5% CO₂. 30 minutes prior to activation the couplets were transferred to calcium free M2 medium 10% FCS containing $5\mu M$ nocodazole. Activation was induced at 32-34 hours post hCG injection as described below. Following activation the reconstructed zygotes were

incubated in medium TC199 (Gibco) 10% FCS at 37°C 5% CO, for a further 3 hours. They were then washed 3 times for 5 minutes at 37°C in the same medium without nocodazole and cultured for a further 12-15 hours prior to transfer to temporary recipient ewes.

"GOAT" (GO/G1 Activation and Transfer)

At 32-34 hours post hCG injection a single cell was placed into contact with the enucleated oocyte. couplet was transferred to the fusion chamber (see below) in 200 µl of 0.3M mannitol, 0.1mM MgSO4, 0.001mM CaCl2 in distilled water. Fusion and activation were induced by application of an AC pulse of 3V for 5 seconds followed by 3 DC pulses of 1.25kV/cm for $80\mu secs$. Couplets were then washed in TC199 10% FCS containing 7.5µg/ml cytochalasin B and incubated in this medium for 1 hour at 37°C 5% CO₂. Couplets were then washed in TC199 10% FCS and cultured for a further 12-15 hours in TC199 10% FCS at 37° C 5% CO₂.

20

25

5

10

15

"UNIVERSAL RECIPIENT"

Enucleated oocytes were activated (as described below) 32-34 hours post hCG injection and then cultured in TC199. 10% FCS at 37°C 5% CO2 for 4-6 hours. A single cell was then placed into contact with the oocyte and fusion induced as described below. The couplets were then incubated in TC199 10% FCS 7.5µg cytochalasin B for 1 hour at 37°C 5% CO2. Couplets were then washed and cultured in TC199 10% FCS at 37°C 5% $\rm CO_2$ for a further 8-11 hours.

30

3.4 Fusion and activation

For activation, oocytes were placed between two parallel electrodes in 200 μ l of 0.3M mannitol, 0.1mM MgSO₄, 0.001mM

25

CaCl₂ in distilled water (Willadsen, Nature 320 63-65 (1986)). Activation was induced by application of 1 DC pulse of 1.25 kV/cm for $80 \mu s$. For fusion, manipulated embryos were treated in a similar manner with the addition that the contact surface between the enucleated oocyte and the cell was arranged parallel to the electrodes. Fusion was induced by application of an AC current of 3V for 5 seconds followed by 3 DC pulses of 1.25 kV/cm for $80 \mu s$.

10

15

...

5

3.5 Embryo culture and assessment (all groups)

After the culture period fused couplets were double embedded in 1% and 1.2% agar (DIFCO) in PBS and transferred to the ligated oviduct of unsynchronised ewes. The couplet is embedded in agar to prevent or reduce immune rejection of the embryo by the recipient ewe and to assist in holding the couplet together. After 6 days recipient ewes were sacrificed and the embryos retrieved by flushing from the oviduct using PBS 10% FCS. Embryos were dissected from the agar chips using 2 needles and development assessed by microscopy. All embryos which had developed to the morula/blastocyst stage were transferred as soon as possible to the uterine horn of synchronised final recipient ewes.

25

20

In vitro techniques may also be suitable in place of a temporary recipient ewe to achieve development of the embryo to the blastocyst stage.

30 Example 4 : Bovine Nuclear Transfer

4.1 <u>In vitro oocyte maturation</u>

Ovaries were obtained from a local abattoir and maintained at 28 - 32°C during transport to the

PCT/GB96/02099

5

10

15

20

: 25

30

35

Cumulus oocyte complexes (COC's) aspirated from follicles 3 - 10mm in diameter using a hypodermic needle (1.2mm internal diameter) and placed into sterile plastic universal containers. The universal containers were placed into a warmed chamber (35°C) and the follicular material allowed to settle for 10 minutes before pouring off three quarters of The remaining follicular material supernatant. diluted with an equal volume of dissection medium (TCM 199 with Earles salts (Gibco), 75.0mg/l kanamycin, 30.0mM Hepes, pH 7.4, osmolarity 280mOsmols/Kg H₂O) supplemented with 10% bovine serum, transferred into an 85mm petri searched for COC's under dissecting a microscope. Complexes with at least 2-3 compact layers of cumulus cells were selected washed three times dissection medium and transferred into maturation medium (TC medium 199 with Earles salts (Gibco), kanamycin, 30.0mM Hepes, 7.69mM NaHCO3, pH 7.8, osmolarity 280mOsmols/Kg H2O) supplemented with 10% bovine serum and 1x106 granulosa cells/ml and cultured until required on a rocking table at 39°C in an atmosphere of 5% $\rm CO_2$ in air.

4.2 Oocyte manipulation

Matured oocytes were stripped of cumulus cells 18 hours after the onset of maturation. Denuded oocytes were then washed in calcium free M2 medium containing 10% Foetal Calf Serum (FCS) and maintained in this medium at 37°C. To remove the chromosomes (enucleation) oocytes were placed in calcium free M2 containing 10% FCS, 7.5ug/ml cytochalasin B (Sigma) and 5.0ug/ml Hoechst 33342 (Sigma) at 37°C for 20 minutes. A small amount of cytoplasm from directly beneath the 1st polar body was then aspirated using a $20\,\mu\text{M}$ glass pipette. Enucleation was confirmed by exposing the aspirated portion of cytoplasm to UV light and checking for the presence of a metaphase plate.

27

4.3 Embryo reconstruction

Enucleated oocytes were then used for each of the three methods of reconstruction (a), (b) and (c) as detailed below.

5

10

15

20

25

30

(a) "MAGIC" (Metaphase Arrested G1/G0 Accepting Cytoplast)

Enucleated oocytes were maintained in calcium free M2 10% FCS at 39°C as soon as possible after enucleation, a single cell was placed into contact with the oocyte by using a glass pipette to transfer the cell through the hole previously made in the zona pellucida. cytoplast/cell couplet was then transferred into the fusion chamber in $200\mu l$ of 0.3M mannitol in distilled The couplet was manually aligned between the electrodes. An AC pulse of 3V was applied for 5 seconds followed by 3 DC pulses of 1.25kV/cm for 80µsecs. couplets were then washed in calcium free M2, 10% FCS at 37°C and incubated in the same medium under oil at 37°C 5% CO2. 30 minutes prior to activation the couplets were transferred to calcium free M2 medium 10% FCS containing 5µM nocodazole. Activation was induced as described below, following activation the reconstructed zygotes were incubated in medium TC199 10% FCS at 37°C 5% CO2 for a further 3 hours. They were then washed 3 times for 5 minutes at 37°C in the same medium without nocodazole and cultured for a further 12-15 hours prior to transfer to temporary recipient ewes (ewes are a less expensive alternative as a temporary recipient reconstructed embryo).

(b) "GOAT" (GO/G1 Activation and Transfer)

Enucleated oocytes were returned to the maturation medium. At 30 or 42 hours post onset of maturation a

single cell was placed into contact with the enucleated oocyte. The couplet was transferred to the fusion chamber (see below) in $200\mu l$ of 0.3M mannitol, 0.1mM MgSO₄, 0.001mM CaCl₂ in distilled water. Fusion and activation were induced by application of an AC pulse of 3V for 5 seconds followed by 3.DC pulses of 1.25kV/cm for 80 μ secs. Couplets were then washed in TC199 10% FCS and incubated at 37°C 5% CO₂ for 15-20 hours (30hpm group) or 4-8 hours (42hpm group) [The abbreviation "hpm" is standard for "hours post-maturation"].

(c) "UNIVERSAL RECIPIENT"

5

10

15

20

25

30

Enucleated oocytes were activated (as described below) 30 or 42 hours post onset of maturation and then cultured in TC199 10% FCS at 37°C 5% CO $_2$ for 8-10 hours (30hpm group) or 4-6 hours (42hpm group). A single cell was then placed into contact with the oocyte and fusion induced as described below. The couplets were then cultured in TC199 10% FCS at 37°C 5% CO $_2$ for a further 12-16 hours (30hpm group) or 4-6 hours (42hpm group).

4.4 Fusion and activation

For activation, oocytes were placed between two parallel electrodes in $200\mu l$ of 0.3M mannitol, 0.1mM MgSO₄, 0.001mM CaCl₂ in distilled water (Willadsen, Nature 320 63-65 (1986)). Activation was induced by application of 1 DC pulse of 1.25kV/cm for $80\mu s$. For fusion, manipulated embryos were treated in a similar manner with the addition that the contact surface between the enucleated oocyte and the cell was arranged parallel to the electrodes. Fusion was induced by application of an AC current of 3V for 5 seconds followed by 3 DC pulses of 1.25kV/cm for $80\mu s$.

4.5 Embryo culture and assessment (all groups)

5

10

20

25

After the culture period fused couplets were double embedded in 1% and 1.2% agar (DIFCO) in PBS and transferred to the ligated oviduct of unsynchronised ewes (ewes are a less expensive alternative as a temporary recipient for the reconstructed embryo). The couplet is embedded in agar to prevent or reduce immune rejection of the embryo by the recipient ewe and to assist in holding the couplet together. After 6 days recipient ewes were sacrificed and the embryos retrieved by flushing from the oviduct using PBS 10% FCS. Embryos were dissected from the agar chips using 2 needles and development assessed by microscopy.

In vitro techniques may also be suitable in place of a temporary recipient ewe to achieve development of the embryo to the blastocyst stage.

Results of Example 3 (ovine cells) and Example 4 (bovine cells

The present techniques have been applied to both ovine and bovine embryo reconstruction. At the present time blastocyst stage embryos have been obtained in cattle; however, no transfers of these embryos to final recipients have been performed. In sheep 7 recipient ewes became pregnant resulting in the birth of 5 live lambs (2 of which died shortly after birth). The results from these experiments are summarised in Tables 1-3.

Table 1 shows the results of development to blastocyst stage of ovine embryos reconstructed using quiescent TNT4 cell populations and 3 different cytoplast recipients. Reconstructed embryos were cultured in the ligated oviduct of a temporary recipient ewe until Day 7 after

30

reconstruction. The results are expressed as the percentage of morula/blastocyst stage embryos in relation to the total number of embryos recovered.

5 <u>TABLE 1</u>

10

15

20

25

30

DATE OF NUCLEAR	PASSAGE NUMBER		NUMBER MORULAE, BLASTOCYSTS/TOTAL NUMBER OF COUPLETS RECOVERED			
TRANSFER		"GOAT"	"MAGIC"	"UNIVERSAL"		
17.1.95	6	6/32	4/28			
19.1.95	7	1/26	1/10			
31.1.95	13		0/2	2/14		
2.2.95	13	0/11	0/14			
7.2.95	1 <u>1</u>		1/9	0/9		
9.2.95	11	9/29	1/2			
14.2.95	12			6/45		
16.2.95	13		3/13			
TOTAL		16/98 (16.3%)	10/78 (12.8%)	8/68 (11.7%)		

Table 2 shows the results of induction of pregnancy following transfer of all morula/blastocyst stage reconstructed embryos to the uterine horn of synchronised final recipient blackface ewes. The Table shows the total number of embryos from each group transferred and the frequency of pregnancy in terms of ewes and embryos (in the majority of cases 2 embryos were transferred to each ewe. A single twin pregnancy was established using the "MAGIC" cytoplast.

31

TABLE 2

PASSAGE NUMBER	"MAGIC"	"GOAT"	"UNIVERSAL"
P6	4	6	О
P7	1	1	0
P11	2	9	0
P12	0	0	6
P13	3	0	2 .
TOTAL MOR/BL	10	16	8
TOTAL NUMBER EWES	6	9	4
PREGNANT EWES %	1 (16. <i>7</i>)	5 (55.5)	1 (25.0)
FOETUSES/ TOTAL TRANSFERRED (%)	2/10 (20.0)	5/16 (31.25)	1/8 (12.5)

Table 3 shows the outcome of the pregnancies established following transfer or morula/blastocyst stage embryos to final recipient ewes.

TABLE 3

EWE	Method	Passage	Result
4E468	GOAT	6	LIVE LAMB
4E302	GOAT	7	FOETUS DIED (APPROX 130 DAYS)
4E210	GOAT	11	LIVE LAMB
4E286	GOAT	11	LIVE LAMB (DIED SHORTLY AFTER BIRTH)
4E453	GOAT	11	FOETUS DIED (APPROX 80 DAYS)
4E294	UNIVERSAL	11	LIVE LAMB
4E272	MAGIC	13	LIVE LAMB (DIED SHORTLY AFTER BIRTH)

25

5

10

15

20

. 30

Example 5: Ovine nuclear transfer and embryo reconstruction using OME, BLWF1 and SEC1 cells

5

10

15

20

25

Nuclear transfer has been conducted using three new cell types, designated OME, BLWF1 and SEC1. OME (ovine mammary epithelial) cells are an epithelial cell line established from a biopsy removed from the mammary gland of an adult 6 year old Fin-Dorset ewe, following the procedure of Finch et al., (Biochem. Soc. Trans. 24 369S BLWF1 (Black Welsh Fibroblast) cells are a fibroblast cell line obtained by dissection and culture of a day 26 Black Welsh foetus obtained following Natural Mating of a Black Welsh ewe to a Black Welsh tup. method of isolation of primary foetal fibroblasts is according to Robertson, E. J., in Teratocarcinomas and embryonic stem cells: A practical approach, 71-112, IRL Press Oxford (1987). SEC1 (Sheep embryonic Cell) are an epithelial-like cell line derived from a day 9 embryo obtained from a super ovulated and mated Pol-Dorset ewe to a Pol-Dorset tup. The SEC1 cells are distinct from the TNT cells described in co-pending PCT application No. PCT/GB95/02095 published as WO 96/07732 for the following reasons. Firstly, the morphology of the cells of the two cell lines are completely different and secondly, the methods used to isolate the cell lines were different. The SEC1 cell line was established from a single embryo whereas the TNT cell lines are derived from groups of cells.

All cell lines were karyotyped and showed a modal chromosome number of 54 (2n). Prior to use as nuclear donors for embryo reconstruction, the induction of quiescence following the reduction of serum levels to 0.5% was monitored as previously described (Campbell

33

et al., Nature 380 64-66 (1996)). Preparation of the reconstructed embryos was as described above in the previous examples.

5

10

15

20

25

30

Table 4 shows a summary of the development of nuclear transfer embryos reconstructed from different cell types. The table shows the number of embryos reconstructed, development to the blastocyst stage and number of pregnancies for each of the three cell types. All cell lines were karyotyped prior to their use for embryo reconstruction. These cell lines had a modal number of 54 chromosomes. One to three blastocyst stage embryos were transferred to each synchronised final recipient ewe. Reconstructed embryos which were cultured in vitro were placed into 10µl (4 embryos) drops of (synthetic oviduct fluid medium) containing 10% human serum and cultured in a humidified atmosphere of 5% 02, 5% CO₂ and 90% N₂ at 39°C. Cultured embryos were transferred to fresh medium every two days. SOFM medium was prepared according to Gardner et al., Biology of Reproduction 50 390-400 (1994) and Thompson et al., Biology of Reproduction 53 1385-1391 (1995).

Table 5 shows the identification of the recipient ewes remaining pregnant at 24 June 1996, the cell type used for embryo reconstruction and the expected lambing date. Pregnancies were established by the transfer of 1 to 3 morula/blastocyst stage embryos (on day 7 after reconstruction) to synchronised final recipient ewes. Details of the numbers reconstructed are shown in Table 4. Abbreviations are: PD = Pol-Dorset, BW = Black Welsh, FD = Fin-Dorset, * = embryo cultured in vitro to the blastocyst stage.

ABLE 4

Cell Type	No. of cytoplasts prepared	No. fused couplets (\$)	No. couplets transferred to oviducts (in vitro cultured)	No. couplets recovered from oviduct (%)	No. Morula / Blastocyst stage (%)	No. Blastocyst (%)	No. pregnancies / No. recipient ewes (%)
OME	387	277 (63.8)	277	247 (89.2) 29 (11.7)	29 (11.7)	1/29 (3.4)	1/13 (7.7)
BLWF1	203	172 (84.7)	143 (24)	124 (86.7) 34 (27.4) 13 (54.2)	34 (27.4) 13 (54.2)	4/34 (11.8) 1/6 (16.6)	4/10 (40.0) 1/6 (16.6)
SECI	465	385 (82.8)	271 (92)	23 ¹ (85.3) 90 (39.0)	90 (39.0) 36 (39.0)	14/72 (19.5) 1/15 (6.6)	14/27 (51.8) 1/5 (20.0)

TABLE 5

I.D. of recipient ewe	Cell line	Outcome of pregnancy	Breed
5E191 5E172	SEC1	LIVE LAMB (MALE) LIVE LAMB (MALE)	225
20:134 9M399 5E524	SECI SECI	LAMB LAMB	6 6
5E139 5E328	BLWF1 BLWF1	LIVE LAMB (MALE)	388
5£169	BLWF1	LIVE LAMB (MALE) died at birth	MSI .
5E475	OME	LIVE LAMB (FEMALE)	FD

35

CLAIMS

- 1. A method of reconstituting an animal embryo, the method comprising transferring the nucleus of a quiescent donor cell into a suitable recipient cell.
- 2. A method as claimed in claim 1, in which the animal is an ungulate species.
- 3. A method as claimed in claim 2, in which the animal is a cow or bull, pig, goat, sheep, camel or water buffalo.

5

30

- A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 3, in
 which the nucleus of the donor cell is genetically modified.
- 5. A method as claimed in claim 4, in which the donor cell is genetically modified prior to embryo reconstitution.
 - 6. A method as claimed in claim 5, in which the recipient cell is an oocyte.
- 7. A method as claimed in claim 6, in which the oocyte is enucleate.
 - 8. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 7, in which the donor cell is an adult somatic cell.
 - 9. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 7, in which the donor cell is an embryonic somatic cell.
- 10. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 7, in which the donor cell is a foetal somatic cell.

- 11. A method for preparing an animal, the method comprising:
 - (a) reconstituting an animal embryo as claimed in any preceding claim;
 - (b) causing an animal to develop to term from the embryo; and
 - (c) optionally, breeding from the animal so formed.
- 10 12. A method as claimed in claim 11, in which the animal embryo is further manipulated prior to full development of the embryo.

5

20

- 13. A method as claimed in claim 12, wherein more than15 one animal is derived from the embryo.
 - 14. A reconstituted animal embryo prepared by transferring the nucleus of a quiescent donor cell into a suitable recipient cell.
 - 15. A reconstituted embryo as claimed in claim 14, in which the donor cell is an adult somatic cell.
- 16. A reconstituted embryo as claimed in claim 14, in which the donor cell is an embryonic somatic cell.
 - 17. A reconstituted embryo as claimed in claim 14, in which the donor cell is a foetal somatic cell.
- 30 18. An animal prepared by a method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 13.
 - 19. An animal developed from a reconstituted animal embryo as claimed in any one of claims 14 to 17.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inter anal Application No PC I / GB 96/02099

		, ·	•
A. CLASSII IPC 6	FICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER A01K67/027 A61K35/54		
According to	o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national cl	assification and IPC	
	SEARCHED		
	ocumentation searched (classification system followed by classification sy	īcaton symbols)	
Documentati	ion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent t	hat such documents are included in the fields	searched
Electronic da	ata base consulted during the international search (name of data	base and, where practical, search terms used	1)
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of t	he relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Α	CA,A,2 092 258 (STICE STEVEN L 1994 see claims 1,2) 1 July	1
P,A	WO,A,96 07732 (ROSLIN INST EDIN ;MCWHIR JAMES (GB); CAMPBELL KI STO) 14 March 1996 cited in the application see page 14, line 21 - page 15 example 2	EITH HENRY	1
P,X	THERIOGENOLOGY, vol. 45, no. 1, 7 January 1996 page 287 XP000605648 CAMPBELL, K.H.S. ET AL.: "Live nuclear transfer from an estab line" see abstract	e lambs by	1-3,6,7, 11,14, 18,19
	<u> </u>	-/- -	<u> </u>
X Furd	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are liste	ed in annex.
'Special car 'A' docum- consid 'E' earlier filing o 'L' docum- which citator 'O' docum- other r 'P' docum-	ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another in or other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means ent published prior to the international filing date but	T' later document published after the ror priority date and not in conflict cited to understand the principle of invention 'X' document of particular relevance; to cannot be considered novel or can involve an inventive step when the 'Y' document of particular relevance; to cannot be considered to involve an document is combined with one or ments, such combination being obtain the art.	with the application but retheory underlying the he claimed invention not be considered to document is taken alone the claimed invention inventive step when the more other such documous to a person skilled
	estual completion of the international search	& document member of the same pate. Date of mailing of the international	
	actual completion of the international search 3 October 1996	0 4. 1L	
	mailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer	
Hanc and t	European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax (+31-70) 340-3016	Chambonnet, F	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Intermonal Application No
PUI/GB 96/02099

		PC1/38 96/02099	
Сополи	non) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	10.	
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	
P,X	NATURE, vol. 380, no. 6569, 7 March 1996, LONDON GB, pages 64-66, XP000605296 CAMPBELL, K.H.S. ET AL.: "Sheep cloned by nuclear transfer from a cultured cell line" see the whole document	1-3	
	·		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inte onal Application No PCI/GB 96/02099

	information on patent family mem	0 a z	PCT/GB	96/02099
Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent memb	family per(s)	Publication date
CA-A-2092258	01-07-94	NONE		
WO-A-9607732	14-03-96	AU-A-	3395695	27-03-96
ı				
	•			
	•			